UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/029,872	06/29/1998	SYDNEY M PUGH	3477/116	6664
	7590 04/08/200 MAN ABEL POLAN	EXAMINER		
5914 WEST CO	OURTYARD DRIVE	PREBILIC, PAUL B		
SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/029,872	PUGH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul B. Prebilic	3774	

	Paul B. Prebilic	3774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the provided HTML REJECTION.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	arragnanding number of finally rai	aatad alaima	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (I	DTOL -324)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	-	•	
non-allowable claim(s).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<b></b>
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,12,23,26,38 and 47-56</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		ı condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Paul B. Prebilic/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3774	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the specification raises the issue of new matter. Many of the new arguments were not necessitated by new grounds of rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Section 112, first paragraph rejection traversal was not persuasive. The Examiner did not find it unequivical in that it required synthesizing parts of the specification that were not clearly linked to each other; see pages 6 and 7 of the March 18, 2008 response. Furthermore, the arguments pertaining to "consisting essentially of" were not persuavie because Ruys discloses a broad range of silicon and discloses that the lower amounts do result in tricalcium phosphate (beta, note claims 1, 50 and 55 do not specify type) and little or not glass which was produced at "high silicon levels"; see the abstract.

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS filed 3/18/08 was not considered because there was no certification therewith.